

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,386	07/03/2001	Gary R. Rogers	A7977	9876
7590 11/14/2003 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			LE, THANH TAM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	<u>.</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/897,386	ROGERS, GARY R.
, ome notion summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839 with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2003 .	•
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	or.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		v the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)	p andor 00 0.0.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

'Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10-17 and 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer (6,493,492) in view of Wilson et al. (6,501,890).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 23 and 29-32, Fischer, figure 4A, discloses a multi axis fiber optic comprising:

- a central portion (66); and
- a plurality of removable extensions (52-63) bonded to and extending from the central portion (column 3, lines 23-28). At least one of the extensions containing at least one optical fiber and extending from the central portion along an axis different from at least one other extension.

Wherein at least one of the extensions is formed integrally and co-extruded with the central portion.

Fischer discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for a fiber optic ribbon having an outer jacket.

Wilson et al., figure 1, disclose a heat strippable optical fiber ribbons having an optical fiber ribbon (10) with an outer jacket (18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Fischer to have an

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

¹ Art Unit: 2839

optical fiber ribbon as taught by Wilson et al., in order to have a better signal transmission.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Fischer to have an optical fiber ribbon with an outer jacket as taught by Wilson et al., in order to have a better signal transmission and to protect the optical fiber ribbon, respectively.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 13-14, the combination of Fischer and Wilson disclose the central portion and the plurality of extensions are made from a same fiber optic ribbon matrix material.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Fischer discloses the at least one of the extensions project along a substantially straight line from the central portion.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, means for separating the extensions from the central portion (without bonding).

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Fischer, figure 4A, discloses a thickness of each extension at a point the extension contacts the central portion is less than a thickness at a point the extension beyond the central portion (since the shape of the central portion is round and the point that each extension contacts the central portion is a flat face).

Regarding claims 10-11 and 21-22, it is noted that Wilson et al. disclose the central portion and the extensions comprises at least one strength member (Wilson et al.'s abstract).

Regarding claim 24, the combination of Fischer and Wilson et al. disclose at least two of the plurality of multi axis ribbon are intertwined with each other such that a first

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

• 7 Art Unit: 2839

one of the two ribbon extends into a space created by at least two of the extension on a second of the two ribbons.

Regarding claims 25-28, the at least one extension is formed integrally/a single unit with the central portion (after bonding).

3. Claims 7-9 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer and Wilson et al. as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Hardwick, III et al. (6,321,013).

Fischer and Wilson et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for at least one of the extensions has color code identifier.

Hardwick, III et al., figure 2, disclose stacks of optical fiber ribbons having optical fiber ribbons 34 having a color-coded for identification purpose (column 9, lines 5-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fischer to have the color-coded as taught by Hardwick, III et al., in order to identify the difference between the extensions.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

6. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Or faxed to: 703-308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

7. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Thanh-Tam Le